

Annex 1. Listening Across Divides: Contextual Moderation in Political Talk and Civic Participation

1. Annex 1: Supplemental Materials

1.1. Section 1: Descriptive Statistics

This section provides a detailed summary of all dependent, independent, and control variables used in the analysis. It includes distributional statistics, frequencies, and missing value counts.

| Variable | Summary ¹ |
|--|----------------------|
| Attended Rally | 117 (15%) |
| Offline Protest | 121 (15%) |
| Signed Petition | 327 (41%) |
| Volunteered | 284 (36%) |
| Boycotted Product | 279 (35%) |
| Solved Local Problems | 212 (27%) |
| Joined Online Group | 181 (23%) |
| Online Protest (Other) | 175 (22%) |
| Vote Intention | 636 (80%) |
| Freq: Strong Ties Discussion | |
| 1 | 102 (13%) |
| 2 | 92 (12%) |
| 3 | 118 (15%) |
| 4 | 176 (22%) |
| 5 | 146 (18%) |
| 6 | 166 (21%) |
| Freq: Weak Ties Discussion | |
| 1 | 184 (23%) |
| 2 | 132 (17%) |
| 3 | 147 (18%) |
| 4 | 168 (21%) |
| 5 | 95 (12%) |
| 6 | 74 (9.3%) |
| Freq: Similar Views Discussion | |
| 1 | 143 (18%) |
| 2 | 95 (12%) |
| 3 | 129 (16%) |
| 4 | 204 (26%) |
| 5 | 114 (14%) |
| 6 | 115 (14%) |
| Freq: Different Views Discussion | |
| 1 | 221 (28%) |
| 2 | 161 (20%) |
| 3 | 115 (14%) |
| 4 | 171 (21%) |
| 5 | 64 (8.0%) |
| 6 | 68 (8.5%) |
| Ease of Listening (Similar Views) | |
| 1 | 54 (6.8%) |
| 2 | 48 (6.0%) |
| 3 | 103 (13%) |
| 4 | 220 (28%) |
| 5 | 229 (29%) |
| 6 | 146 (18%) |
| Ease of Listening (Different Views) | |

| Variable | Summary ¹ |
|--|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 75 (9.4%) |
| 2 | 121 (15%) |
| 3 | 171 (21%) |
| 4 | 220 (28%) |
| 5 | 111 (14%) |
| 6 | 102 (13%) |
| Gender (1=Male) | 398 (50%) |
| Race/Ethnicity | |
| White | 486 (61%) |
| Black | 111 (14%) |
| Hispanic | 139 (17%) |
| OtherRace | 64 (8.0%) |
| Age | 47.49 (19.67) [18.00, 92.00] |
| Education Level | |
| 1 | 3 (0.4%) |
| 2 | 1 (0.1%) |
| 3 | 3 (0.4%) |
| 4 | 272 (34%) |
| 5 | 179 (22%) |
| 6 | 211 (26%) |
| 7 | 131 (16%) |
| Income Level | 5.24 (3.11) [1.00, 11.00] |
| Ideology (Liberal-Conservative) | 6.38 (2.87) [1.00, 11.00] |
| Political Interest | |
| 1 | 81 (10%) |
| 2 | 53 (6.6%) |
| 3 | 101 (13%) |
| 4 | 181 (23%) |
| 5 | 198 (25%) |
| 6 | 186 (23%) |
| News Freq: Newspaper | |
| 1 | 309 (39%) |
| 2 | 130 (16%) |
| 3 | 84 (11%) |
| 4 | 106 (13%) |
| 5 | 63 (7.9%) |
| 6 | 108 (14%) |
| News Freq: TV | |
| 1 | 102 (13%) |
| 2 | 92 (12%) |
| 3 | 96 (12%) |
| 4 | 125 (16%) |
| 5 | 120 (15%) |
| 6 | 265 (33%) |
| News Freq: Social Media | |
| 1 | 144 (18%) |
| 2 | 83 (10%) |
| 3 | 98 (12%) |

| Variable | Summary ¹ |
|--|----------------------|
| 4 | 146 (18%) |
| 5 | 140 (18%) |
| 6 | 189 (24%) |
| News Freq: Liberal Sources | |
| 1 | 315 (39%) |
| 2 | 110 (14%) |
| 3 | 98 (12%) |
| 4 | 123 (15%) |
| 5 | 79 (9.9%) |
| 6 | 75 (9.4%) |
| News Freq: Conservative Sources | |
| 1 | 276 (35%) |
| 2 | 103 (13%) |
| 3 | 111 (14%) |
| 4 | 101 (13%) |
| 5 | 94 (12%) |
| 6 | 115 (14%) |

¹n (%); Mean (SD)
[Min, Max]

1.2 Section 2: Correlation Matrix

This table displays the Pearson correlation coefficients for the key independent and control variables used in the models. Categorical (Race) and binary dependent variables are excluded from this matrix due to the nature of the correlation method.

| Var. | Talk with Str. Ties | Talk with Wk. Ties | Talk with Sim. Views | Talk with Diff. Views | Ease Listen Sim- | Ease Listen Diff- | Age | Education | Income | Ideology | Pol. Interest | News : Paper | News : TV | News : SocMed | News : Lib. | News : Con. |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------|-----------|--------|----------|---------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Talk with Str. Ties | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Talk with Wk. Ties | 0.62 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Talk with Sim. Views | 0.63 | 0.67 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Talk with Diff. Views | 0.51 | 0.67 | 0.57 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ease Listen Sim-Minded | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.26 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ease Listen Diff-Minded | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age | -0.04 | -0.11 | -0.01 | -0.18 | 0.04 | -0.15 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Income | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.15 | -0.01 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| Ideology | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| Pol. Interest | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Newspaper | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 1.00 | | | | |
| TV News | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 1.00 | | | |
| Social Media News | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.25 | -0.41 | -0.08 | -0.04 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 1.00 | | |
| Liberal News | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.30 | -0.18 | 0.11 | 0.04 | -0.12 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 1.00 | |
| Conservative News | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.25 | 0.31 | -0.01 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 1.00 |

Pearson Correlation Matrix of Key Variables

1.2. Section 3: Full Regression Model Results

1.2.1. Main Effects Models

| | Model 1 | | | Model 2 | | | Model 3 | | |
|---|---------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Characteristic | Beta | 95% CI | p-value | Beta | 95% CI | p-value | Beta | 95% CI | p-value |
| Age | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.00 | <0.001 | 0.00 | 0.00, 0.00 | <0.001 | 0.00 | 0.00, 0.00 | <0.001 |
| Gender (Male) | 0.02 | -0.02, 0.06 | 0.3 | 0.03 | -0.01, 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.03 | -0.01, 0.07 | 0.10 |
| Race (Non-Hispanic White) | -0.03 | -0.07, 0.01 | 0.15 | -0.03 | -0.07, 0.01 | 0.12 | -0.04 | -0.07, 0.00 | 0.057 |
| Education | 0.01 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.4 | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.6 | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.8 |
| Income | 0.00 | 0.00, 0.01 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.00, 0.01 | 0.4 | 0.00 | 0.00, 0.01 | 0.4 |
| Political Ideology | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.01 | 0.043 | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00, 0.01 | 0.3 |
| Political Interest | 0.04 | 0.02, 0.05 | <0.001 | 0.02 | 0.01, 0.04 | <0.001 | 0.03 | 0.01, 0.04 | <0.001 |
| Newspaper Use | 0.02 | 0.01, 0.03 | 0.002 | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.02 | 0.009 | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.02 | 0.032 |
| TV News Use | -0.02 | -0.03, 0.00 | 0.007 | -0.02 | -0.03, -0.01 | 0.002 | -0.02 | -0.03, -0.01 | <0.001 |
| Social Media News Use | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.02 | 0.041 | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.02 | 0.090 | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.02 | 0.091 |
| Liberal News Use | 0.03 | 0.02, 0.04 | <0.001 | 0.02 | 0.01, 0.03 | <0.001 | 0.02 | 0.01, 0.03 | 0.002 |
| Conservative News Use | 0.02 | 0.01, 0.03 | <0.001 | 0.02 | 0.00, 0.03 | 0.007 | 0.02 | 0.01, 0.03 | 0.002 |
| Political Talk in Strong Ties Networks | | | | -0.01 | -0.02, 0.01 | 0.3 | -0.01 | -0.02, 0.01 | 0.4 |
| Political Talk in Weak Ties Networks | | | | 0.01 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.3 | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.7 |
| Political Talk With Like-Minded People | | | | 0.02 | 0.01, 0.04 | 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.00, 0.04 | 0.014 |
| Political Talk With Different-Minded People | | | | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.6 | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.9 |
| Ease of Listening to Different-Minded People | | | | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.5 | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.5 |
| Ease of Listening to Like-Minded People | | | | 0.02 | 0.01, 0.03 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.01, 0.04 | <0.001 |
| Political Talk in Strong Ties Networks * Ease of Listening to Different-Minded People | | | | | | | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.01 | 0.8 |
| Political Talk in Weak Ties Networks * Ease of Listening to Different-Minded People | | | | | | | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.02 | 0.2 |

| | Model 1 | | | Model 2 | | | Model 3 | | |
|--|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Characteristic | Beta | 95% CI | p-value | Beta | 95% CI | p-value | Beta | 95% CI | p-value |
| Political Talk With Like-Minded People * Ease of Listening to Different-Minded People | | | | | | | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.01 | >0.9 |
| Political Talk With Different-Minded People * Ease of Listening to Different-Minded People | | | | | | | 0.01 | 0.00, 0.02 | 0.005 |
| Political Talk in Strong Ties Networks * Ease of Listening to Like-Minded People | | | | | | | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.01 | 0.6 |
| Political Talk in Weak Ties Networks * Ease of Listening to Like-Minded People | | | | | | | 0.01 | -0.01, 0.02 | 0.3 |
| Political Talk With Like-Minded People * Ease of Listening to Like-Minded People | | | | | | | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.01 | 0.6 |
| Political Talk With Different-Minded People * Ease of Listening to Like-Minded People | | | | | | | 0.00 | -0.01, 0.01 | 0.4 |
| Abbreviation: CI = Confidence Interval | | | | | | | | | |