Supplementary Material 1. Comparison of the Rules of Procedure (RoP) in local Polish Citizens' Assemblies (CAs) 2016-2023.

Variables (primary set of object variables is written in italics)	I Gdańsk CA (2016)	II Gdańsk CA (2017)	III Gdańsk CA (2017)	Lublin CA (2018)	Wrocław CA (2020)	Warsaw CA (2020)	Poznań CA (2021)	I Krakow CA (X 2021)	II Krakow CA (III 2023)	Rzeszów CA (2023)
FORMAL VARIA										
Form	An appendix to the Presidential Ordinance	An appendix to the Presidential Ordinance	An appendix to the Presidential Ordinance	A few different files called "guidebooks" (for participants, experts, stakeholders etc.)	Stand- alone document	Stand- alone document	Stand-alone document	Stand- alone document	Stand- alone document	Stand-alone document
Preamble						Yes		Yes	Yes	
Definitions					Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Good practices					Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Appendices									Yes	Yes
OBJECT VARIA	BLES				l	I.				l
Purpose										
The guiding question of a CA				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Specific questions					Yes		Yes		Yes	
Accountability	1			1	1	T		•	_	_
Binding character of the CA's				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

recommendat	
lions	
Transparency	
Educational Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes
part of the CA	
is available to	
the public	
Representativeness	
Description of Yes Yes Yes (detailed) Yes Yes	
the draw (detailed)	
(random	
sampling)	
Demographic District, District, District, Gender, age, Gender, Gender, Gender, age, Gender, Ge	Gender, age,
criteria gender, age gender, age gender, age district and age, age, education, age, district age, dist	
and and and education education, education approach to and and education edu	education
most change and	711
used mode	
of transport	
The number of Yes Yes (depends Yes (depends Yes Yes Yes Yes	
the panelists (depends on on the on the (proportionall (according to	
from each the number number of number of y to the per- to the the	
of residents in residents in residents in cent algorithm) algorithm)	
CISTRICT in each each district) each district) proportion of	
in the whole	
number of	
residents)	15.40.000
Minimum age 18 years 18 years 18 years 15 years 18 years 15 years 15 years 15 years	15 years
of the	
panelists	
Inclusiveness	
Stakeholders Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes
The selection	r Coordinating
of the apply from the can apply Team prepares a prepare	
Stakeholders Coordinati (but there prepares a list of list of ng Team may be list of identified identified	prepares a
ng Team may be list of identified identified limits) identified Stakeholde St	

						Stakeholders who are invited and there is an additional open call	rs who are invited and there is an additional open call	rs who are invited and there is an additional open call	selection of Stakeholders and selects them in an open call (in cooperation with the City Hall)
Time for Stakeholders'			8 minutes	6-8 minutes		6-8 minutes			,
speeches Formulation of recommendat ions' proposals by the Stakeholders			Yes				Yes		
Remuneration for the panelists		Yes (amount)	Yes	Yes	Yes (amount)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (amount)
Information								•	
Experts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tasks/rights		- transfer of knowledge, - preparing a summary of a presentation, - answering panelists' questions	- preparing a presentation on the CA's topic, - formulating and commenting on recommenda tions, - preparing written educational materials for panelists and a summary of a presentation	- preparing a presentatio n on the CA's topic, - formulating and commentin g on recommen dations, - preparing materials including a summary of a presentatio	participating in CA's meetings, preparing a presentation on the CA's topic and answering questions asked by panelists, preparing materials including a summary of a presentatio	- preparing a presentation on the CA's topic, - preparing materials including a summary of a presentation and recommenda tion, - preparing additional educational materials for panelists	- preparing a presentatio n on the CA's topic, - answering questions asked by the panelists, - preparing materials including a summary of a presentatio n, recommen	- preparing a presentatio n on the CA's topic, - answering questions asked by the panelists, - preparing materials including a summary of a presentatio n, recommen	- preparing a presentation on the CA's topic, - answering questions asked by the panelists, - preparing materials including a summary of a presentation, recommenda tion and answers on questions

				and sharing electronic materials with those responsible for publishing them on the City's official website,	n and recommen dations, - preparing written educationa I materials for general public,	n and recommen dations, - preparing additional educationa I materials for panelists, if necessary, - commenting on proposals of recommen dations prepared by panelists, Stakeholde rs and Experts,	commenting on recommenda tion prepared by panelists and Experts,	dation and answers on questions asked by the panelists, commenting on recommen dation	dation and answers on questions asked by the panelists, - commenting on recommen dation	asked by the panelists, - commenting on recommenda tion
Remuneration for Experts	Yes (possibility)	Yes (possibility)	Yes (amount)	Yes (amount + refund of travel expenses + acommodati on)	Yes (amount + refund of travel expenses)	Yes	Yes (amount + refund of travel expenses)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time for				12-20	12-20		12-20			
Experts'				minutes	minutes		minutes			
speeches										
Panelists have a right to check the information they heard				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Panelists have a right to invite additional		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

•										(a consortium)
Composition								City Hall) NGO	City Hall) Two NGOs	Two NGOs
Organizer			for CA's organization)					cooperatio n with the	cooperatio n with the	
Operator/			Yes (experts					Yes (in	Yes (in	Yes
Integrity	•	•	•	•	,		•			•
CA					(1+1+1+1+ 1+1)			(1+1+1+1+ 1+1)		
Duration of a					Yes	Yes (2+2)		Yes	Yes (2+2+1)	Yes (2+2+1)
Time				<u>.</u>			,		1	
Probationary voting				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
							professional editor, if necessary)			
ions							from a			
recommendat						ng Team)	with assistance			Team)
of						(Coordinati	(Panelists	(Operator)	(Operator)	(Coordinating
Final wording				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
in the CA)										
participation										
its										
selection and										
(+ rules of its										
Reserve group	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Facilitators/ Moderators	168	168	162	168	res	168	168	168	168	168
Group delibera	tion Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
session	4									
extend a										
meeting or										
additional										
organize an										
a right to										
Panelists have				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Experts/Stake holders										

Rights	- selection of			_	- establishing
Tilgrits	experts,		organizatio	appointing	precise rules
	oxporto,		n of the	additional	of
	- inviting		CA's	consultant	Stakeholders'
	additional		proceeding	S	participation,
				5	
	experts and		s,		- ensuring
	organization				facilitation of
	of their		- grouping		the
	speeches		the		discussions,
			proposals		
	- selection of		of		
	a voting		recommen		-
	method		dations if		organizationa
			they are		l and
			similar,		substantive
					preparation
			- verifying		of the CA
			the		through the
			proposals		Coordinating
			of		Team
			recommen		- preparing a
			dations on		final report
			their		
			complianc		
			e with the		
			CA's aim		
			and topic		
			and the		
			City Hall's		
			competenc		
			es,		
			03,		
			- editing the		
			recommen		
			dations in a		
			transparent		
			way,		
			- selection		
			of the		
			voting		
			method,		
			=		
			appointing		

								additional consultant s	
Coordinating team	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes
Composition				A list with names (2 persons)	A list with names (4 persons)	representat ives of NGOs responsible for a CA's preparation	A list with names (3 persons)		representativ es of NGOs responsible for a CA's preparation (Operators)
Rights	coordiantion of the consultation s, - preparation of a partial report from the consultation s, - ensuring the moderator, - preparation of demographi c criteria for the draw of panelists and conducting the draw, - inviting experts, - selection a voting method	coordiantion of the consultation s, - preparation of a partial report from the consultation s, - inviting panelists, Experts and organizations that are interested in the CA's topic, - selection a voting method	- preparation of the draw procedure, - consultation s on the selection of a voting method	- organization of speeches of additional experts, - contact with Experts and Stakeholders between sessions, - verifying if recommenda tions correspond with the topic of a CA, - asking fact-checkers to verify information presented during discussions, - preparation of a CA's programme	- coordinating each stage of the CA, - conducting a draw of panelists, - organizing a call for Stakeholde rs, - selection of Experts and Facilitators, - preparing a CA's programme, - conducting the final	- informing the general public about the CA's proceeding s, - collects CA-related opinions and ideas, - technical support for panelists, - coordinatin g each stage of the CA, - conducting a draw of panelists, - organizing a call for Stakeholde rs,	- designing the CA and coordinating its proceedings, - conducting a draw of panelists, - organizing a call for Stakeholders, - selecting Experts and Facilitators, - preparing a CA's programme, - organization of CA's meetings, - facilitating discussions and conducting the final voting on		- ensuring facilitation of the discussions, - developing templates and deadlines for recommenda tions, - collecting proposals of recommenda tions and circulating them among the panelists, - grouping the proposals of recommenda tions if they are similar, - verifying the proposals of recommenda tions on their compliance with the CA's

Т	T T	1	1		I	1.1 6.
		recommen	-	recommenda		and the City
		dations,	organizatio	tions,		Hall's
		- updating	n of CA's			competence
		information	meetings,	- updating		s and
		on CA's		information		removing the
		proceeding	-	on CA's		non-
		s on the	conducting	proceedings		compliant
		CA's	the final	on the CA's		ones,
		website	voting on	website,		
			recommen	- preparing a		- editing the
			dations,	final report		recommenda
			- preparing	from the CA,		tions in a
			promotiona	- preparation		transparent
			l campaign	of the		way,
			of the CA,	arbitration		''
			- preparing	procedure,		- selection of
			a final	- a right to		the voting
			report from	stop a		method (in
			the CA	Stakeholder'		cooperation
			-	S		with the City
			participatin	presentation		Hall and the
			gin	if the rules of		Monitoring
			meetings of	procedure		Team),
			the	were broken		icaiii),
			Monitoring	were broken		- organizing a
			Team			call for
			lealli			Stakeholders
						, Experts and
						Observers
						and their
						selection (in
						cooperation
						with the City
						Hall),
						- conducting
						the final
						voting,
						-
						participating
						in meetings
						of the
						Monitoring
						Team

Monitoring		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
team								
Composition			Representa tives of the City Hall (5 persons), the City Council (1 person from each Club), NGOs/infor mal groups (9 persons)	Representa tives of the City Hall and the President, the City Council and NGOs/infor mal groups (10 persons)	Representati ves of the City Hall and the Mayor (4 persons), the City Council (the number is dependent on how numerous is a political party), academics (4 persons) and NGOs/inform al groups (8 persons)	Representa tives of the City Council (1 person from each political party), academics (6 persons) and NGOs/infor mal groups (6 persons)	Representa tives of the City Council (5 persons), City Hall (5 persons), academics (4 persons) and NGOs/infor mal groups (4 persons)	The Monitoring Team acts on the basis of the Mayor's Ordinance no. 0050/411/20 23 from 12 September 2023
Rights			monitoring the CA's proceeding s, proceeding s, pointing out Observers who can attend deliberative meetings; verifying a CA's programme; comments regarding the breach of the standards,	- approving the rules of procedure and decisions of a CA (particularl y those related to public campaign, the draw of panelists and selection of Experts), - approving the recommen dations on their complianc e with Warsaw strategic	- submitting comments and amendments to a CA's Rules of Procedure, - monitoring the CA's proceedings on its complying with Rules of Procedure, - participating in CA's proceedings, - collecting comments regarding the breach of the standards	- approving the rules of procedure, - collecting comments regarding the breach of the standards, - calling the Coordinati ng Team to restore the CA's proceeding s according to the RoP, - monitoring the draw of panelists, the selection of	- approving the rules of procedure, - collecting comments regarding the breach of the standards, - calling the Coordinati ng Team to restore the CA's proceeding s according to the RoP, - monitoring the draw of panelists, the selection of	- approving the rules of procedure, - collecting comments regarding the breach of the standards, - calling the Coordinating Team to restore the CA's proceedings according to the RoP, - monitoring the draw of panelists, the selection of Experts and voting on recommenda

Т	1	1	1		T		Т	Т	Τ .
				- calling the	documents	during CA's	Experts,	Experts,	tions and
				Coordinati	and	meetings,	addressing	addressing	final report,
				ng Team to	feasibility		the	the	
				restore the		- calling the	Stakeholde	Stakeholde	- accepting
				CA's	- collecting	Coordinating	rs' requests	rs' and	changes in
				proceeding	comments	Team to	and	Observers'	the CA's
				s according	regarding	restore the	voting on	requests	programme,
				to the RoP,	the breach	CA's	recommen	and	
					of the	proceedings	dations,	voting on	-
				-	standards,	according to	- a right to	recommen	commenting
				investigatin		the RoP, if	prepare an	dations,	on the
				g appeals	- calling the	necessary	evaluation		proposals of
				related to	Coordinati		report,	- a right to	recommenda
				the	ng Team to	- initiating of		prepare an	tions with
				rejection of	restore the	an arbitration	- indicating	evaluation	regard to
				Stakeholde	CA's	procedures,	its own	report,	their
				rs,	proceeding	if necessary,	observers		compliance
					s according		attending	- indicating	with the City
				-	to the RoP,	- monitoring	the CA's	its own	Hall's
				participatin		the draw of	meetings,	observers	competence
				g in	-	panelists,		attending	S
				inaugural	investigatin		-	the CA's	
				and	g appeals	- resolving	formulating	meetings,	
				educationa	related to	issues	the		
				l CA's	the	related to the	proposals	-	
				meetings,	rejection of	participation	of	formulating	
					Stakeholde	of	amendmen	the	
				- initiating	rs	Stakeholders	t of the	proposals	
				of an		,	CA's	of	
				arbitration	-		proceeding	amendmen	
				procedure	participatin	- selecting	s, including	t of the	
					g in public	Observes	the	CA's	
					sessions of	eligible for	amendmen	proceeding	
					the CA	attending the	ts of the	s, including	
						deliberative	Rules of	the	
						part of the	Procedure,	amendmen	
						CA,		ts of the	
								Rules of	
						- addressing		Procedure,	
						requests for			
						excluding a		-	
						panelist, if		commentin	
						necessary		g on the	
								proposals	
								of	

Observers Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y	
of the limitation of the number of Observers) Observers) Observers from the number of Observers (appointed by the number of Observers) Observers) Observers from the Monitoring Team, representat ives from the City Office, universities or civic participatio n-related NGOs) NGOs + a possibility of the number of Observers, if necessary)	embers pointed by e Operator the initoring am, presentativ from the y Hall, iversities civic rticipation- ated NGOs possibility
Arbitration Yes Yes Yes	
Privacy	-

Deliberative				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
part is secret										
Evaluation		1	ı	•		•	•	•	•	•
Final report	The Coordinating Team prepares a partial report from the consultation s that should be publicly available The result of the final vote must be determined as soon as possible and information about the result of the final voting must be published within seven days after the CA had ended	The Coordinating Team prepares a partial report from the consultation s that should be publicly available Information about the result of the final voting must be published within seven days after the CA had ended	Recommend ations of a CA must be published within 30 days after the CA had ended	A list of proposals of recommenda tions together with their final support by the panelists (expressed as percentage) is published on the official CA's website as soon as possible after the presentation of recommenda tions	The Coordinati ng Team prepares the report (approved by the Monitoring Team)	The Coordinati ng Team prepares the report, A list of proposals of recommen dations together with their final support by the panelists (expressed as percentage) is published on the official CA's website after the official presentatio n of recommen dations	The final result of the final voting is determined as soon as possible after its end, but not later than within 7 days from the final voting The Monitoring Team can prepare an evaluation report	The final result of the final voting is published on the CA's website as soon as possible, but not later than within 14 days from the final voting	The final result of the final voting is published on the CA's website as soon as possible, but not later than within 14 days from the final voting The Operator presents the final report approved by the Monitoring Team	A list of proposals of recommenda tions together with their final support by the panelists (expressed as percentage) is published on the official CA's website as soon as possible after the presentation of recommenda tions
Innovations	1		Foot	Foot	The right of	Invitie -	Doording	Accortant	The	The coloration
The rules that appeared maximum two times			Fact- checkers	Fact- checkers	The right of the panelists to formulate a request to dismiss a facilitator or a moderator	Inviting substantive advisors	Recording the meetings of the Monitoring Team Observers can attend only	Acceptanc e of the compositio n of the Monitoring Team by the Mayor	The selection of Experts and Observers is made by the Operator in cooperatio	The selection of Experts and Observers is made by the Operator in cooperation with the City Hall

T	ı	ı	ī	<u> </u>	 		*** **	1
					educational	Information	n with the	
					part of a CA	about the	City Hall	The selection
						breach of		of
					An institution	the RoPs	Α	Stakeholders
					of a witness	(confirmed	possibility	is made by
						by the	to pay the	the Operator
					Appeals from	Monitoring	panelists	in
					a decision on	Team) is	with the	cooperation
					excluding an	published	use of	with the City
					observer	on the CA's	vouchers	Hall
					shall be	website	1000.0.0	
					resolved by	and in the	An	A rule that
					the	final report		photo
					Department	illiatiepolt	obligation of the	
							of the	services are
					of Political		organizer to	ensured by
					Systems		obtain an	the City Hall
							approval of	
					An additional		the RoP	A rule that
					criterion for		from the	the Mayor
					binding		Monitoring	convenes the
					character of		Team	first meeting
					CA's			of the
					recommenda			Monitoring
					tion, that is,			Team
					the strength			
					of its support			
					measured by			
					the attitude			
					of			
					participants			
					A right to			
					appeal from			
					the result of			
					the final			
					voting on			
					recommenda			
					tions, if a			
					breach of			
					rules is			
					suspected			
					Drograma			
					Programme-			
					related 			
					meetings			

		between Stakeholders and Coordinating Team are		
		recorded		

Note: Authors' own elaboration based on the OECD good practices for Climate Assemblies (2021) and KNOCA Evaluation Framework (Carrick, 2022).