

Appendix

A. Question Wording.....	2
B. Descriptive Statistics , Bivariate Correlations, and Additional Estimation Tables.....	6
B1. Summary Statistics.....	6
B2. Comparison of Voter Groups	8
B3. Bivariate Correlations	11
B4. Additional Estimation Tables	13
C. Robustness Checks.....	14
C1. Baseline Models.....	14
C2. Full Model Plus Sociodemographic Controls	15
C3. Full Model Plus Coalition Expectations.....	16
C4. Replication of Analysis Across All and All Non-FPÖ Voters	18
C5. Replication of Analysis for Major Mainstream Parties	22
C6. Replication of Analysis Excluding Respondents Failing the Attention Check (W23)	17
C7. Logit, Linear and Ordered Models with a Lagged Dependent Variable (FPÖ Voters)	24
C8. Logit, Linear and Ordered Models with a Lagged Dependent Variable (All Voters)	26
C9. Exploring Conditional Effects of Winner-Loser Perceptions (FPÖ voters).....	29
C10. Exploring Conditional Effects of Winner-Loser Perceptions (All Voters).....	33

A. Question Wording

Satisfaction with Democracy

Question wording: On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Austria?

Are you...

- very satisfied (1)
- rather satisfied (2)
- rather dissatisfied (3)
- very dissatisfied (4)

Perceived Winner-Loser Status (Post-Election Wave)

Question wording: What would you say: Is the party you have voted for a winner or a loser in this election to the National Council? [Asked only of respondents who reported having voted]

Response categories:

- party is a winner (1)
- party is partly winner/loser (2)
- party is a loser (3)
- don't know (88)

Perceived Winner-Loser Status (Post-Coalition Wave)

Question wording: What would you say: Is the party you have voted for a winner or a loser in the formation of the government?

Response categories:

- party is a winner (1)
- party is partly winner/loser (2)
- party is a loser (3)
- did not vote (77)
- don't know (88)

Populist Attitudes

Question wording: Please indicate whether you completely agree, somewhat agree, partly agree, partly disagree, somewhat disagree or completely disagree with the following statements.

Items (order randomized):

- When talking about ‘compromises’ in politics, one actually means that one is betraying one’s principles.
- Most politicians only care about the interests of the rich and powerful.
- Most politicians are trustworthy. *
- The parties are the main problem in Austria.
- The people, not the politicians, should make our most important political decisions.
- As a member of parliament, I would rather have an independent citizen than a party member.

Response categories:

- completely agree (1)
- somewhat agree (2)
- partly agree/disagree (3)
- somewhat disagree (4)
- completely disagree (5)
- don’t know (88)
- refused (99)

Commitment to Democratic Norm of Losers’ Consent (Post-Election Wave)

Question wording: Please indicate whether you completely agree, somewhat agree, partly agree, partly disagree, somewhat disagree or completely disagree with the following statements.

Items (order randomized):

- It is important to accept the result of an election, even if my preferred party loses.
- I would support a peaceful transition of power, even if my preferred party does not win the election.
- I would question the legitimacy of an election if my party loses.*
- I would consider protesting the election result if my party lost.*

Response categories:

- completely agree (1)
- somewhat agree (2)
- partly agree/disagree (3)
- somewhat disagree (4)
- completely disagree (5)

- don't know (88)

Commitment to Democratic Norm of Losers' Consent (Post-Election Wave)

Question wording: Please indicate whether you completely agree, somewhat agree, partly agree, partly disagree, somewhat disagree or completely disagree with the following statements.

Items (order randomized):

- It is important to accept the outcome of government negotiations, even if my preferred party is not part of the new government.
- I would support a peaceful transition of power, even if my preferred party is not part of the new government.
- I would question the legitimacy of government formation if my preferred party is not included in the government.*
- I could imagine protesting against the new government if my preferred party is not included in the government.*

Response categories:

- completely agree (1)
- somewhat agree (2)
- partly agree/disagree (3)
- somewhat disagree (4)
- completely disagree (5)
- don't know (88)

Perceived Electoral Integrity

Question wording: When you think about elections in Austria in general, how often do you think the following things happen?

Items (order randomized):

- Votes are counted correctly.
- Voters are bribed. *
- The media report on the election campaign in a balanced way.
- The official election management behaves correctly.
- The postal votes are manipulated. *
- Foreign organizations interfere in the elections. *

Response categories:

- always (1)
- mostly (2)
- occasionally (3)
- very rarely (4)
- never (5)
- don't know (88)

Perceived Fairness of the Coalition Formation Process

Question wording: Please indicate whether you completely agree, somewhat agree, partly agree/disagree, somewhat disagree or completely disagree with each of the following statements.

Items (order randomized):

- It is unfair if a party is not included in the government despite a strong election result.
- Excluding the party with the most votes from forming a government contradicts my idea of democracy.
- I find it acceptable that a coalition is formed without including the strongest party.*
- Coalitions may be formed without the strongest party as long as they represent the majority of voters.*

Response categories:

- completely agree (1)
- somewhat agree (2)
- partly agree/disagree (3)
- somewhat disagree (4)
- completely disagree (5)
- don't know (88)
- refused (99)

Note: * Reverse-coded item.

B. Descriptive Statistics , Bivariate Correlations, and Additional Estimation Tables

B1. Summary Statistics

Table B1.1 Summary statistics for variables used to model transitions in satisfaction with democracy from the pre-election to the post-election wave

	N	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Δ Satisfaction With Democracy: W22 => W23 (initial state: dissatisfied)	426	0.120	0.325	0.0	1.0
Δ Satisfaction With Democracy: W22 => W23 (initial state: satisfied)	206	0.364	0.482	0.0	1.0
Winner-Loser Perception: Winner (W23)	632	0.854	0.353	0.0	1.0
Winner-Loser Perception: Partial (W23)	632	0.138	0.345	0.0	1.0
Winner-Loser Perception: Loser (W23)	632	0.008	0.089	0.0	1.0
Populist Attitudes Index (W23)	628	3.701	0.688	1.3	5.0
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Outcome (Index, W23)	621	4.054	0.818	1.0	5.0
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index (W23)	632	3.335	0.646	1.0	5.0
Observations	632				

Notes: FPÖ voters participating in the pre-election, post-election and post-coalition wave. Not weighted.

Table B1.2 Summary statistics for variables used to model transitions in satisfaction with democracy from the post-election to the post-coalition wave

	N	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Δ Satisfaction With Democracy: W23 => W24 (initial state: dissatisfied)	430	0.102	0.303	0.0	1.0
Δ Satisfaction With Democracy: W23 => W24 (initial state: satisfied)	171	0.509	0.501	0.0	1.0
Winner-Loser Perception: Winner (W24)	601	0.216	0.412	0.0	1.0
Winner-Loser Perception: Partial (W24)	601	0.334	0.472	0.0	1.0
Winner-Loser Perception: Loser (W24)	601	0.449	0.498	0.0	1.0
Populist Attitudes Index (W24)	599	3.900	0.710	1.8	5.0
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Negotiations (Index, W24)	589	3.471	0.987	1.0	5.0
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index, W24)	598	1.884	0.815	1.0	4.8
Observations	601				

Notes: FPÖ voters participating in the pre-election, post-election and post-coalition wave. Not weighted.

B2. Comparison of Voter Groups




Figure B2.1 Winner-loser perceptions by vote choice




Figure B2.2 Populist attitudes by vote choice




Figure B2.3 Perceived electoral integrity by vote choice




Figure B2.4 Norm to accept democratic decisions by vote choice




Figure B2.5 Perceived fairness of the coalition negotiations

B3. Bivariate Correlations

Table B3.1 Bivariate correlations for transitions from the pre-election to the post-election wave (initial state: dissatisfied)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: W22 => W23 (initial state: dissatisfied)	Winner-Loser Perception (W24)	Populist Attitudes Index (W23)	Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Outcome (Index, W23)	Perceived Electoral Integrity Index (W23)
Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: W22 => W23 (initial state: dissatisfied)	1.000				
Winner-Loser Perception (W24)	0.036	1.000			
Populist Attitudes Index (W23)	-0.117	-0.049	1.000		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Outcome (Index, W23)	0.068	-0.026	-0.007	1.000	
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index (W23)	0.118	0.021	-0.228	0.297	1.000
Observations	414				

Table B3.2 Bivariate correlations for transitions from the pre-election to the post-election wave (initial state: satisfied)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: W22 => W23 (initial state: satisfied)	Winner-Loser Perception (W24)	Populist Attitudes Index (W23)	Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Outcome (Index, W23)	Perceived Electoral Integrity Index (W23)
Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: W22 => W23 (initial state: satisfied)	1.000				
Winner-Loser Perception (W24)	0.114	1.000			
Populist Attitudes Index (W23)	0.155	-0.090	1.000		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Outcome (Index, W23)	-0.057	-0.193	0.089	1.000	
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index (W23)	-0.200	-0.245	-0.181	0.312	1.000
Observations	206				

Table B3.3 Bivariate correlations for transitions from the post-election to the post-coalition wave
(initial state: dissatisfied)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: W23 => W24 (initial state: dissatisfied)	Winner- Loser Perception (W24)	Populist Attitudes Index (W24)	Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks (Index, W24)	Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness Index (W24)
Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: W23 => W24 (initial state: dissatisfied)	1.000				
Winner-Loser Perception (W24)	-0.010	1.000			
Populist Attitudes Index (W24)	-0.228	0.004	1.000		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks (Index, W24)	0.080	0.154	-0.052	1.000	
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness Index (W24)	0.237	0.045	-0.469	0.181	1.000
Observations	420				

Table B3.3 Bivariate correlations for transitions from the post-election to the post-coalition wave
(initial state: satisfied)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: W23 => W24 (initial state: satisfied)	Winner-Loser Perception (W24)	Populist Attitudes Index (W24)	Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks (Index, W24)	Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness Index (W24)
Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: W23 => W24 (initial state: satisfied)	1.000				
Winner-Loser Perception (W24)	0.048	1.000			
Populist Attitudes Index (W24)	0.009	0.153	1.000		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks (Index, W24)	-0.227	0.082	-0.041	1.000	
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness Index (W24)	-0.193	-0.068	-0.328	0.170	1.000
Observations	168				

B4. Additional Estimation Tables

Table B4.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election (logit coefficients)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	-0.059	(0.442)	-0.379	(0.428)
- Loser	1.698	(1.484)	0.838	(1.308)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.450 ⁺	(0.242)	0.431 ⁺	(0.225)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.183	(0.208)	-0.009	(0.202)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	0.471 ⁺	(0.272)	-0.562 [*]	(0.284)
Constant	-2.583 ⁺	(1.496)	0.263	(1.361)
McFadden's R ²	0.039		0.049	
Obs.	414		206	

Notes: Entries are logit coefficients; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

Table B4.2 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition (logit coefficients)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.965 [*]	(0.492)	0.451	(0.452)
- Loser	0.577	(0.421)	0.653 ⁺	(0.377)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.851 ^{**}	(0.274)	-0.264	(0.255)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.263	(0.183)	-0.561 ^{**}	(0.201)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	0.567 ^{**}	(0.218)	-0.487 [*]	(0.224)
Constant	-1.437	(1.299)	3.802 ^{**}	(1.411)
McFadden's R ²	0.119		0.074	
Obs.	420		168	

Notes: Entries are logit coefficients; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

C. Robustness Checks

C1. Baseline Models

Table C1.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	-0.001	(0.045)	-0.121	(0.101)
- Loser	0.381	(0.356)	0.202	(0.288)
McFadden's R ²	0.006		0.010	
Obs.	426		206	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C2.2 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.022	(0.041)	0.051	(0.106)
- Loser	0.014	(0.033)	0.087	(0.085)
McFadden's R ²	0.001		0.004	
Obs.	430		171	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

C2. Full Model Plus Sociodemographic Controls

Table C2.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	-0.023	(0.051)	-0.065	(0.097)
- Loser	0.287	(0.320)	0.198	(0.292)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.045 ⁺	(0.025)	0.097 [*]	(0.048)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.019	(0.022)	-0.026	(0.046)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	0.049 ⁺	(0.027)	-0.140 [*]	(0.060)
Gender: Male	0.016	(0.032)	-0.032	(0.067)
Age (in Years)	-0.001	(0.001)	0.003	(0.002)
Education: Secondary Completed	0.086 ^{**}	(0.033)	-0.033	(0.078)
McFadden's R ²	0.072		0.064	
Obs.	411		204	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

Table C2.2 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.078 ⁺	(0.044)	0.121	(0.101)
- Loser	0.041	(0.030)	0.159 ⁺	(0.083)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.078 ^{**}	(0.024)	-0.054	(0.058)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.020	(0.016)	-0.143 ^{**}	(0.042)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	0.054 ^{**}	(0.019)	-0.094 ⁺	(0.048)
Gender: Male	0.023	(0.030)	0.026	(0.076)
Age (in Years)	0.002	(0.001)	0.004	(0.003)
Education: Secondary Completed	-0.047	(0.038)	-0.065	(0.081)
McFadden's R ²	0.136		0.095	
Obs.	417		166	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

C3. Full Model Plus Coalition Expectations

Table C3.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	-0.020	(0.050)	-0.073	(0.099)
- Loser	0.258	(0.322)	0.185	(0.295)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.042 ⁺	(0.025)	0.091 ⁺	(0.047)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.024	(0.022)	-0.003	(0.045)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	0.043	(0.027)	-0.125 [*]	(0.060)
Likelihood: ÖVP-FPÖ Coalition	0.013 [*]	(0.006)	-0.011	(0.012)
Likelihood: ÖVP-SPÖ-NEOS Coalition	-0.002	(0.005)	-0.002	(0.011)
McFadden's R ²	0.057		0.052	
Obs.	414		206	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

C4. Replication of Analysis Excluding Respondents Failing the Attention Check (W23)

Table C4.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial/Loser):				
- Winner	0.013	(0.046)	-0.125	(0.114)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.029	(0.026)	0.117*	(0.047)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.039	(0.024)	-0.067	(0.048)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	0.045	(0.029)	-0.146*	(0.062)
McFadden's R ²	0.038		0.081	
Obs.	376		176	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. “Partial” and “loser” categories were collapsed into a joint category due to the small number of observations in the “loser” category. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C4.2 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.102*	(0.045)	0.085	(0.109)
- Loser	0.061+	(0.029)	0.102	(0.088)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.076**	(0.024)	-0.031	(0.060)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.025	(0.015)	-0.119*	(0.044)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	0.039*	(0.018)	-0.123*	(0.053)
McFadden's R ²	0.130		0.079	
Obs.	384		148	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

C5. Replication of Analysis Across All and All Non-FPÖ Voters

Table C5.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election (all voters)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.045	(0.047)	-0.041	(0.033)
- Loser	-0.046	(0.034)	-0.001	(0.030)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.086 ^{***}	(0.021)	0.084 ^{***}	(0.016)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.015	(0.020)	-0.009	(0.015)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	0.031	(0.026)	-0.042 [*]	(0.021)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	-0.081	(0.082)	0.067 [*]	(0.027)
- FPÖ	-0.250 ^{***}	(0.089)	0.250 ^{***}	(0.057)
- GREENS	0.009	(0.130)	-0.034	(0.027)
- NEOS	-0.056	(0.106)	0.048	(0.042)
- Other party	-0.162 ⁺	(0.089)	0.081 [*]	(0.044)
McFadden's R ²	0.092		0.153	
Obs.	736		1016	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

Table C5.2 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition (all voters)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.084*	(0.036)	0.007	(0.027)
- Loser	0.037	(0.034)	0.021	(0.030)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.112***	(0.020)	0.031 ⁺	(0.017)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.026	(0.016)	-0.057***	(0.014)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	0.056***	(0.015)	-0.062***	(0.013)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	0.038	(0.059)	-0.041	(0.031)
- FPÖ	-0.024	(0.060)	0.130**	(0.042)
- GREENS	0.006	(0.097)	-0.086 ⁺	(0.040)
- NEOS	0.015	(0.073)	0.037	(0.047)
- Other party	-0.037	(0.064)	0.167**	(0.060)
McFadden's R ²	0.211		0.250	
Obs.	702		928	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C5.3 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election (all non-FPÖ voters)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.188 ⁺	(0.104)	-0.018	(0.037)
- Loser	-0.064	(0.052)	-0.008	(0.024)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.130 ^{***}	(0.035)	0.083 ^{***}	(0.016)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.013	(0.036)	-0.009	(0.015)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	-0.002	(0.049)	-0.017	(0.022)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	-0.070	(0.080)	0.063 [*]	(0.026)
- GREENS	0.012	(0.127)	-0.033	(0.026)
- NEOS	-0.106	(0.102)	0.033	(0.042)
- Other party	-0.157 ⁺	(0.086)	0.070 ⁺	(0.042)
McFadden's R ²	0.078		0.101	
Obs.	322		810	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

Table C5.4 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition (all non-FPÖ voters)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.110 ⁺	(0.066)	-0.002	(0.025)
- Loser	-0.000	(0.081)	-0.008	(0.031)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.170 ^{***}	(0.037)	0.051 ^{**}	(0.017)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.028	(0.036)	-0.043 ^{**}	(0.014)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	0.075 ^{**}	(0.026)	-0.047 ^{***}	(0.012)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	0.050	(0.082)	-0.034	(0.025)
- GREENS	0.050	(0.148)	-0.061	(0.034)
- NEOS	0.019	(0.101)	0.034	(0.040)
- Other party	-0.043	(0.092)	0.138 ^{**}	(0.055)
McFadden's R ²	0.160		0.182	
Obs.	282		760	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

C6. Replication of Analysis for Major Mainstream Parties

Table C6.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election (ÖVP voters)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	-0.196	(0.251)	0.025	(0.079)
- Loser	-0.110	(0.158)	0.016	(0.031)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	0.035	(0.123)	0.055*	(0.026)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	-0.019	(0.133)	0.009	(0.028)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	0.043	(0.163)	0.074*	(0.039)
McFadden's R ²	0.016		0.078	
Obs.	43		250	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C6.2 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition (ÖVP voters)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.020	(0.180)	-0.081 ⁺	(0.041)
- Loser	0.166	(0.219)	0.015	(0.067)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.103	(0.102)	0.070*	(0.032)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.085	(0.103)	-0.007	(0.027)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	0.099	(0.068)	-0.064**	(0.021)
McFadden's R ²	0.108		0.184	
Obs.	40		235	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C6.3 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election (SPÖ voters)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.266	(0.336)	-0.025	(0.101)
- Loser	-0.033	(0.074)	-0.064	(0.043)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.188**	(0.051)	0.133***	(0.032)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.027	(0.053)	0.011	(0.029)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	-0.079	(0.071)	-0.044	(0.041)
McFadden's R ²	0.084		0.100	
Obs.	137		280	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C6.4 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition (SPÖ voters)

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.117	(0.085)	0.015	(0.038)
- Loser	-0.056	(0.151)	0.051	(0.075)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.244***	(0.055)	0.028	(0.026)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.093 ⁺	(0.054)	-0.027	(0.020)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	0.086*	(0.041)	-0.065**	(0.023)
McFadden's R ²	0.213		0.168	
Obs.	121		257	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are “staying dissatisfied” and “staying satisfied”. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

C7. Logit, Linear and Ordered Models with a Lagged Dependent Variable (FPÖ Voters)

Table C7.1 Logit Lagged Dependent Variable Models

	Satisfaction with Democracy (W23)		Satisfaction with Democracy (W24)	
Satisfaction with Democracy (t-1)	0.450***	(0.041)	0.299***	(0.042)
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.023	(0.043)	0.015	(0.043)
- Loser	0.008	(0.149)	-0.014	(0.034)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.062**	(0.023)	-0.034	(0.023)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.015	(0.020)		
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index	0.075**	(0.027)		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)			0.044**	(0.017)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)			0.071***	(0.019)
McFadden's R ²	0.261		0.221	
Observations	620		588	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The dependent variable is a binary indicator of satisfaction with democracy (satisfied vs. dissatisfied). + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C7.2 Linear Lagged Dependent Variable Models

	Satisfaction with Democracy (W23)		Satisfaction with Democracy (W24)	
Satisfaction with Democracy (t-1)	0.548***	(0.039)	0.454***	(0.039)
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.089	(0.077)	-0.034	(0.077)
- Loser	-0.165	(0.261)	-0.074	(0.060)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.184***	(0.047)	-0.107*	(0.048)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.030	(0.034)		
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index	0.122*	(0.051)		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)			0.115***	(0.030)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)			0.121**	(0.042)
Constant	1.010***	(0.299)	0.749**	(0.263)
R ²	0.432		0.375	
Observations	620		588	

Notes: Entries are unstandardized linear regression coefficients; robust standard errors in parentheses. The dependent variable is satisfaction with democracy measured on a four-point scale ranging from very dissatisfied to very satisfied. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C7.3 Ordered Lagged Dependent Variable Models

	Satisfaction with Democracy (W23)		Satisfaction with Democracy (W24)	
Satisfaction with Democracy (t_{-1} , ref.: Rather dissatisfied)				
- Very dissatisfied	-1.642 ^{***}	(0.224)	-1.587 ^{***}	(0.237)
- Rather satisfied	1.788 ^{***}	(0.210)	1.228 ^{***}	(0.215)
- Very satisfied	4.236 ^{***}	(0.592)	2.717 ^{***}	(0.450)
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.239	(0.241)	-0.290	(0.246)
- Loser	-0.843	(0.952)	-0.252	(0.193)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.655 ^{***}	(0.135)	-0.379 ^{**}	(0.142)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.153	(0.109)		
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index	0.447 ^{**}	(0.149)		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)			0.461 ^{***}	(0.094)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)			0.345 ^{**}	(0.119)
Cut point 1	-1.495 ⁺	(0.791)	-0.059	(0.706)
Cut point 2	1.493 ⁺	(0.792)	2.453 ^{***}	(0.717)
Cut point 3	4.342 ^{***}	(0.825)	5.289 ^{***}	(0.770)
McFadden's R ²	0.246		0.214	
Observations	620		588	

Notes: Entries are ordered logit coefficients; standard errors in parentheses. The dependent variable is satisfaction with democracy measured on a four-point scale ranging from very dissatisfied to very satisfied. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

C8. Logit, Linear and Ordered Models with a Lagged Dependent Variable (All Voters)

Table C8.1 Logit Lagged Dependent Variable Models (All Voters)

	Satisfaction with Democracy (W23)		Satisfaction with Democracy (W24)	
Satisfaction with Democracy (t-1)	0.476 ^{***}	(0.026)	0.358 ^{***}	(0.026)
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.043	(0.027)	0.026	(0.022)
- Loser	-0.017	(0.023)	-0.001	(0.023)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.085 ^{***}	(0.013)	-0.069 ^{***}	(0.013)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.013	(0.012)		
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index	0.036 [*]	(0.016)		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)			0.041 ^{***}	(0.011)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)			0.056 ^{***}	(0.010)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	-0.084 [*]	(0.033)	0.032	(0.030)
- FPÖ	-0.255 ^{***}	(0.048)	-0.085 ^{**}	(0.033)
- GREENS	0.045	(0.049)	0.082	(0.053)
- NEOS	-0.060	(0.047)	-0.021	(0.040)
- Other party	-0.124 ^{**}	(0.043)	-0.108 ^{**}	(0.041)
Constant				
R ²	0.413		0.448	
Observations	1752		1630	

Notes: Entries are Average Marginal Effects (AME) based on logistic regression; standard errors in parentheses. The dependent variable is a binary indicator of satisfaction with democracy (satisfied vs. dissatisfied). ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

Table C8.2 Linear Lagged Dependent Variable Models (All Voters)

	Satisfaction with Democracy (W23)		Satisfaction with Democracy (W24)	
Satisfaction with Democracy (t_{-1})	0.567***	(0.024)	0.479***	(0.024)
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.088*	(0.050)	0.020	(0.034)
- Loser	-0.028	(0.032)	-0.014	(0.039)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.154***	(0.022)	-0.143***	(0.023)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.031	(0.021)		
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index	0.069*	(0.029)		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)			0.087***	(0.019)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)			0.099***	(0.019)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	-0.086*	(0.038)	0.113**	(0.039)
- FPÖ	-0.333***	(0.060)	-0.174**	(0.054)
- GREENS	-0.017	(0.050)	0.114*	(0.052)
- NEOS	-0.120*	(0.055)	0.046	(0.051)
- Other party	-0.178***	(0.052)	-0.164*	(0.066)
Constant	1.361***	(0.160)	1.112***	(0.148)
R ²	0.551		0.589	
Observations	1752		1630	

Notes: Entries are unstandardized linear regression coefficients; robust standard errors in parentheses. The dependent variable is satisfaction with democracy measured on a four-point scale ranging from very dissatisfied to very satisfied. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table C8.3 Ordered Lagged Dependent Variable Models (All Voters)

	Satisfaction with Democracy (W23)		Satisfaction with Democracy (W24)	
Satisfaction with Democracy (t_{-1} , ref.: Rather dissatisfied)				
- Very dissatisfied	-1.870 ^{***}	(0.183)	-1.519 ^{***}	(0.192)
- Rather satisfied	2.121 ^{***}	(0.134)	1.670 ^{***}	(0.139)
- Very satisfied	4.712 ^{***}	(0.258)	3.979 ^{***}	(0.251)
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	0.321 ⁺	(0.178)	0.044	(0.136)
- Loser	-0.093	(0.143)	0.017	(0.141)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.601 ^{***}	(0.081)	-0.600 ^{***}	(0.086)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.142 ⁺	(0.075)		
Perceived Electoral Integrity Index	0.291 ^{**}	(0.102)		
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)			0.379 ^{***}	(0.069)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)			0.357 ^{***}	(0.067)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	-0.426 [*]	(0.173)	0.514 ^{**}	(0.178)
- FPÖ	-1.297 ^{***}	(0.231)	-0.491 [*]	(0.194)
- GREENS	-0.115	(0.248)	0.494 ⁺	(0.264)
- NEOS	-0.501 [*]	(0.248)	0.211	(0.233)
- Other party	-0.733 ^{**}	(0.227)	-0.524 [*]	(0.237)
Cut point 1	-3.167 ^{***}	(0.530)	-1.423 ^{**}	(0.489)
Cut point 2	-0.123	(0.522)	1.242 [*]	(0.490)
Cut point 3	4.197 ^{***}	(0.532)	5.722 ^{***}	(0.514)
McFadden's R ²	0.337		0.356	
Observations	1752		1630	

Notes: Entries are ordered logit coefficients; standard errors in parentheses. The dependent variable is satisfaction with democracy measured on a four-point scale ranging from very dissatisfied to very satisfied. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$


C9. Exploring Conditional Effects of Winner-Loser Perceptions (FPÖ voters)

Table C9.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial/Loser):				
- Winner	0.958	(3.467)	-2.683	(3.342)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.557	(0.583)	-0.033	(0.560)
Interaction Terms:				
- Populist Attitudes (Index) X Winner	0.067	(0.642)	0.555	(0.613)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	-0.120	(0.517)	0.228	(0.514)
Interaction Terms:				
- Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index) X Winner	0.383	(0.566)	-0.274	(0.560)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	1.150 ⁺	(0.680)	-0.926	(1.054)
Interaction Terms:				
- Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index) X Winner	-0.840	(0.742)	0.420	(1.095)
Constant	-3.245	(3.048)	2.193	(2.941)
McFadden's R ²	0.040		0.051	
Obs.	414		206	

Notes: Entries are logit coefficients; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are "staying dissatisfied" and "staying satisfied". "Partial" and "loser" categories were collapsed into a joint category due to the small number of observations in the "loser" category. ⁺ $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure C9.1 Conditional effects of winner-loser perceptions on transitions from pre-election to post-election (FPÖ voters)




Notes: Points display average marginal effects (AMEs); vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. The reference category for winner-loser perception is “Partial/Loser”.

Table C9.2 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	-1.165	(4.173)	0.338	(3.911)
- Loser	3.294	(3.341)	0.997	(3.385)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-1.393*	(0.553)	-0.090	(0.427)
Interaction Terms:				
- Populist Attitudes (Index) X Winner	1.541 ⁺	(0.827)	-0.244	(0.687)
- Populist Attitudes (Index) X Loser	0.188	(0.715)	-0.410	(0.603)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.740 ⁺	(0.433)	-0.651 ⁺	(0.350)
Interaction Terms:				
- Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index) X Winner	-0.883	(0.564)	0.280	(0.534)
- Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index) X Loser	-0.303	(0.509)	-0.056	(0.481)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	1.101*	(0.517)	-0.727 ⁺	(0.397)
Interaction Terms:				
- Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index) X Winner	-0.080	(0.713)	-0.028	(0.637)
- Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index) X Loser	-0.860	(0.600)	0.639	(0.518)
Constant	-2.792	(2.629)	4.060 ⁺	(2.465)
McFadden's R ²	0.155		0.091	
Obs.	420		168	

Notes: Entries are logit coefficients; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are "staying dissatisfied" and "staying satisfied". ⁺ $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure C9.2 Conditional effects of winner-loser perceptions on transitions from pre-election to post-election (FPÖ voters)



Notes: Points display average marginal effects (AMEs); vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. The reference category for winner-loser perception is "Partial".


C10. Exploring Conditional Effects of Winner-Loser Perceptions (All Voters)

Table C10.1 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from pre-election to post-election

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Pre-Election to Post-Election			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	-1.834	(2.085)	-0.295	(2.097)
- Loser	2.417	(2.528)	-3.319	(2.376)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-0.577*	(0.255)	0.707**	(0.261)
Interaction Terms:				
- Populist Attitudes (Index) X Winner	0.172	(0.344)	-0.164	(0.339)
- Populist Attitudes (Index) X Loser	-0.452	(0.431)	0.273	(0.373)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index)	0.077	(0.249)	0.092	(0.234)
Interaction Terms:				
- Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index) X Winner	0.175	(0.322)	-0.114	(0.313)
- Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Election Result (Index) X Loser	-0.211	(0.421)	-0.448	(0.355)
Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index)	0.148	(0.330)	-0.792*	(0.353)
Interaction Terms:				
- Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index) X Winner	0.224	(0.421)	0.267	(0.449)
- Perceived Electoral Integrity (Index) X Loser	-0.109	(0.581)	1.157*	(0.521)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	-0.373	(0.384)	0.736*	(0.322)
- FPÖ	-1.511***	(0.459)	1.909***	(0.402)
- GREENS	-0.002	(0.605)	-0.669	(0.590)
- NEOS	-0.286	(0.500)	0.587	(0.472)
- Other party	-0.842+	(0.461)	0.843*	(0.423)
Constant	0.640	(1.480)	-2.182	(1.567)
McFadden's R ²	0.098		0.160	
Obs.	736		1016	

Notes: Entries are logit coefficients; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are "staying dissatisfied" and "staying satisfied". + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure C10.1 Conditional effects of winner-loser perceptions on transitions from pre-election to post-election (all voters)




Notes: Points display average marginal effects (AMEs); vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. The reference category for winner-loser perception is "Partial".

Table C10.2 Transitions in democratic satisfaction from post-election to post-coalition

	Δ Satisfaction with Democracy: Post-Election to Post-Coalition			
	Dissatisfied → Satisfied		Satisfied → Dissatisfied	
Winner-Loser Perception (ref. Partial):				
- Winner	-1.926	(1.985)	1.735	(2.064)
- Loser	-1.105	(1.930)	1.551	(2.049)
Populist Attitudes (Index)	-1.356 ^{***}	(0.306)	0.517 [*]	(0.233)
Interaction Terms:				
- Populist Attitudes (Index) X Winner	0.821 ⁺	(0.428)	-0.460	(0.365)
- Populist Attitudes (Index) X Loser	0.530	(0.442)	-0.369	(0.384)
Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index)	0.200	(0.228)	-0.529 ^{**}	(0.192)
Interaction Terms:				
- Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index) X Winner	-0.001	(0.328)	0.077	(0.311)
- Norm to Accept Democratic Decisions: Coalition Talks Outcome (Index) X Loser	0.087	(0.323)	-0.101	(0.319)
Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index)	0.558 ^{**}	(0.189)	-0.527 ^{**}	(0.183)
Interaction Terms:				
- Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index) X Winner	-0.040	(0.261)	-0.167	(0.267)
- Perceived Coalition Formation Fairness (Index) X Loser	-0.229	(0.273)	0.119	(0.286)
Party Choice (ref. ÖVP):				
- SPÖ	0.278	(0.448)	-0.421	(0.331)
- FPÖ	-0.199	(0.471)	0.965 ^{***}	(0.291)
- GREENS	0.157	(0.787)	-1.260 ⁺	(0.672)
- NEOS	0.093	(0.553)	0.326	(0.389)
- Other party	-0.213	(0.525)	1.111 ^{**}	(0.379)
Constant	0.709	(1.362)	0.326	(1.219)
McFadden's R ²	0.219		0.253	
Obs.	702		928	

Notes: Entries are logit coefficients; standard errors in parentheses. The reference categories for the dependent variables are "staying dissatisfied" and "staying satisfied". ⁺ $p < 0.10$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$.

Figure C10.2 Conditional effects of winner-loser perceptions on transitions from pre-election to post-coalition (all voters)



Notes: Points display average marginal effects (AMEs); vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. The reference category for winner-loser perception is "Partial".