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Abstract
In 2016, the Netherlands ratified the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UN CRPD), one of the last developed nations to do so. In this article, we explore how equal access to food provides a lens through which barriers to implementing a rights-based approach to disability equality can be examined in countries that are historically resistant to such discourses. Through a literature review, policy research, and interviews with disabled people, representatives of disabled people’s organisations, Dutch legal scholars, food researchers, and foodbanks, we have explored barriers to equal food access in the Netherlands, and current approaches to overcoming social, economic and physical barriers. Our analysis indicates that implementation of the UN CRPD and other relevant international and EU policies continues to be limited in the Netherlands due to narrow interpretations, leading to policies and practices that do not foster equal access to resources and environments. Dutch understandings of disability equality are evolving, but encounter opposition from an entrenched system of separation and resistance to mandating change, including a reluctance to even collect data about inequality. From this basis, we identify knowledge gaps and make recommendations for steps the Netherlands could take to ensure equal access to food.
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1. Introduction
In 2016, the authors evaluated an annual campaign to improve disability access in the Netherlands, the Week van de Toegankelijkheid (‘Accessibility Week’). The campaign was sponsored by Ieder(in), a network of Dutch disability groups. Every year the week has a theme, and in 2016 it was access to dining out and eating together. Our evaluation began with a literature review. We found ample literature related to the primary issue of access to cafés and restaurants, ranging from reports written by Disabled Peoples’ Organisations (DPOs, for example, GehandicaptenPlatform Venray, 2014) to the Dutch building code’s accessibility rules for restaurants and other public spaces (Koninkrijk der Nederlanden, 2012). We conducted 16 semi-structured interviews with leaders and members of disability groups and disabled people, who helped us identify issues to explore further.

While Ieder(in)’s 2016 campaign concentrated on dining out, we had concerns that for many disabled peo-
ple in the Netherlands, there were problems with access to food that went beyond physical access to restaurants. We therefore decided to look at access to food more broadly and at a deeper level. Our methodology included an additional 25 semi-structured interviews with disabled people, members and leaders of DPOs, and policymakers; additional brief informal interviews; and observations, including visits to eating establishments with DPOs.

We began by interviewing people who were part of groups involved in the leder(in) network and its original accessibility project, deliberately choosing to speak to people with a broad cross-section of physical and intellectual/developmental disabilities, from rural, suburban and urban areas. To this base we added contacts suggested by initial interviewees, and then sought out people with disabilities and members of DPOs who were not part of the leder(in) network but could offer perspectives from disabled people who had not previously been represented in the first or second sample, such as people with autism and young disabled activists. We also sought interviews with Dutch academics who were actively researching the right to food and food banks, and national and regional policymakers, to gain a systemic view. Finally, we conducted interviews with food-related service providers to learn more about their practices regarding food provision and people with disabilities.

We did face some limitations based on the sample available. Because we began the research as part of a project run by a network of DPOs and disability service organisations, the people with disabilities interviewed tended to be those who were members of DPOs. These ranged from small local groups to large national advocacy organisations, but the views and experiences of these individuals may not be representative of all Dutch people with disabilities. In particular, very few of our respondents resided in supported living or institutional care.

2. Literature Review

Access to adequate food is necessary for human survival. It has therefore been enshrined in international policies as a human rights issue, particularly in Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Article 11 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR; Hospes & van der Meulen, 2009). The right to adequate food is also recognised in the food security guidelines of the UN Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO Council, 2004); Article 28 of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UN CRPD); Articles 11 and 12 of the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, and Article 24 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (Golay & Özden, 2012); and as an “indispensable right” in the Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (Knuth, 2009).

The constitutions of a few EU countries recognise the right to a “decent” or “adequate” standard of living, which implicitly includes access to adequate food, as a basic human right. This list currently includes the Czech Republic, Romania, Germany and the Netherlands. The right to the means to live a “dignified” life, also implicitly including access to adequate food, is recognised in Belgium, Finland and Malta (Knuth & Vidar, 2011). Access to food and food security issues are increasingly on the European Union’s agenda as well, although pronouncements are typically geared towards the EU’s role in alleviating famine and malnutrition outside Europe (for example, European Commission, 2013). The right to food is indirectly supported by Article 4(1) and other sections of the European Social Charter (Just Fair, 2014). Most recently, the “right to adequate minimum income benefits ensuring a life in dignity at all stages of life, and effective access to enabling goods and services,” implicitly including adequate food, has been included in the European Pillar of Social Rights (European Parliament, Council of the European Union, & European Commission, 2017, p. 20), which further states that “people with disabilities have the right to income support that ensures living in dignity” (p. 21).

Worldwide, people with disabilities are more likely than people without disabilities to encounter barriers to adequate food (Conference of States Parties to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 2015). The quantity and quality of food that disabled people can access may be limited by these barriers, even in developed Western countries (Webber, Sobal, & Dol lahite, 2007). Inadequate access to food can also produce disability through the long-term effects of malnutrition, or exacerbate existing disability (Groce et al., 2014). Access barriers include physical barriers, attitudinal barriers, differential treatment, and inadequate information (de Jong et al., 2013). Impaired capacity, lack of support to prepare food or eat, lack of adequate income, lack of transportation or other help to obtain food, being unable to enter and use public eating establishments, or feeling unwelcome in public situations involving food (cafés, restaurants, public celebrations and events) can impact access (Webber et al., 2007).

Traditionally, the food needs of people with disabilities in the Netherlands have been addressed through state income-transfer programmes or charities. However, in July 2016 the Netherlands ratified the UN CRPD, marking a first step towards a rights-based approach to disability equality, which is predicated on seeing people with disabilities as active members of society who are empowered to claim the same rights as others rather than a socially protected class dependent on medical or charity support (Kayess & French, 2008).

2.1. Access to Food for Disabled People in Developed Countries

It is clear that having a disability often impacts access to food, including in developed countries. However, we found almost no research on whether the Netherlands is
an exception to this rule, despite court rulings that have claimed this is the case (Hospes & van der Meulen, 2009).

The experiences of disabled people in other developed countries, such as the United Kingdom and Canada, indicate that food poverty is a significant issue for disabled people (e.g., Vozoris & Tarasuk, 2003). For example, in the UK, people with long-term illnesses or disabilities form the largest group of individuals who require help from foodbanks to survive (New Economic Models in the Digital Economy Group, University of Hull, & The Trussell Trust, 2016). Malnutrition is believed to affect at least three million people in the UK: residents of care homes and people with an intellectual disability are high-risk groups (Andalo, 2014). There have even been cases of British disabled people dying in circumstances where malnutrition was a factor or perhaps even the cause (for example, Gentleman, 2014), due to benefit sanctions.

People with disabilities are often reliant on state income assistance programmes. The Netherlands, like most other developed countries, has cut disability benefits in recent years and introduced increased conditionality. While concern has been raised about how this may impact disabled peoples’ access to food (Hospes & van der Meulen, 2009), we did not find any research on this topic. However, Riches and Silvasti (2014) note that food budgets are usually the only part of poor people’s spending that is “elastic”: housing, utilities, and healthcare costs cannot be cut by the consumer, who is then faced with dilemmas such as “heating or eating.”

The EU defines food poverty as being unable to afford a meal with meat, chicken, fish or vegetarian equivalent, every second day. Across the EU, the average for food poverty among disabled people was 16.1%, compared with 7.5% of non-disabled people of similar age. Amongst people aged 65+, the average EU food poverty rate was lower: 6.8% amongst disabled seniors, though still double when compared with 3.3% of non-disabled seniors (Eurostat, 2016). However, Eurostat’s statistics do not include people living in collective households or institutions. Adults living in congregate supported housing or institutional settings, and adults who cannot afford their own apartment in a country like the Netherlands, where rents are very high, will include a large number of disabled people.

For disabled people in institutional settings, food choice may be limited when group meals are served to save money, when group provision is preferred due to government or corporate policy, when food choices are limited or unhealthy, or when inadequate help is provided for residents who need assistance to eat. For example, in one study, Dutch government policy was found to push small, parent-initiated residences for adults with intellectual or developmental disabilities to serve group meals rather than to support individuals to cook for themselves (Reindl, Waltz, & Schippers, 2016). In another, access to kitchen facilities in a large rehabilitation center for young disabled adults was curtailed in favour of residents taking meals in a café setting (Waltz, Speelmans, & Cardol, 2016). In the latter case, the main choices available were often fried or processed foods.

Other researchers have described so-called food deserts where access to food may be primarily via small convenience shops or fast-food outlets, with higher food costs and less healthy, nutritious food available. Webber, Sobal and Dollahite (2007) show how disability, income, and location combine to limit access to adequate food in the United States. Access to grocery shopping may also be affected by sensory or physical disabilities or sensory-perceptual issues experienced by people with autism.

Getting practical support from family and friends can be more problematic for people who are isolated from social networks. Lack of accessible transport, not being able to travel alone, and being denied access to settings were experiences that resonated with some disabled people we spoke with. For example, one young man from a small Dutch town described trying to enter a bar with friends, but being refused entry by the doorman because of his walking frame, even though a friend in a wheelchair was allowed to enter (personal communication, October 2016). Unequal treatment and discrimination can be significant barriers to access.

2.2. Disability and Poverty

Palmer (2011) outlines different ways of conceptualising poverty and disability and shows how the two intersect, “each a cause for and a consequence of the other” (p. 210). Palmer found no single factor responsible for poverty, but rather an interconnected web of factors, such as disability, socioeconomic status and geographical location. In high-income countries, the employment rate of people with disabilities is usually much lower than the rest of the working-age population, and for households including a person with a disability, informal caregiving becomes an additional financial cost for the household (Palmer, 2011).

Wolbring and Mackay (2014) point out that disabled people are rarely mentioned in food security news coverage in North America or the UK. They found no existing studies on media coverage of disability and food security. They also found that in Canada, most reports contained no data on disabled people experiencing food insecurity, even though some other social groups were included (Wolbring & Mackay, 2014). Similarly, other minority groups, such as immigrants and indigenous people, were also often missing from media coverage of food security. The authors concluded: “From a disability studies perspective, increasing the visibility of the food security problems disabled people face is needed but for them to be actively involved in the discussion around food security many barriers have to be removed” (Wolbring & Mackay, 2014, p. 22).

There is a similar knowledge gap within data collection in the Netherlands. Foodbanks collect information on age, gender, marital status, single parents, and length of foodbank use, but not disability. In 2016 Voed-
Shame is not just considered an effect of poverty, it is diversity in its client base, lumping “people with chronic illness” in with self-employed people, people with debts, and small business owners (Voedselbanken Nederland, 2016).

2.3. Benefits and Access to Food

Changes to benefits levels and eligibility have had a major impact on how much money disabled people in the Netherlands have to spend on food; in addition, food prices have risen at more than twice the rate of inflation: as of June 2017, 2.7% versus 1.1% (OECD, 2017). Foodbanks in the Amsterdam area reported in 2015 that, following a policy cutting benefits by 30% when two or more people share an address (for example, flatmates or partners), they saw a huge increase in new clients. Up to 7000 residents were said to be unable to cover their food costs anymore because of this change (AT5, 2015).

In the past two years, increases have been under 1% per year: in other words, the income of benefit recipients has decreased relative to inflation (Rijksdienst voor de Wethouders van de Gemeente, 2015, 2016a). This means that benefits have not kept up with increased costs, including both higher food prices and extra costs borne by people who have a disability, in areas like transportation, equipment and healthcare. In addition, eligibility for benefits has been increasingly tightened and made more conditional in the past two decades, especially for claimants under age 50 (Drooping, Hvinden, & van Oorschot, 2000; OECD, 2007, 2014).

2.4. Food Poverty in the Netherlands

We found very little literature about food poverty in the Netherlands. A notable exception was van der Horst, Pasucci and Bol’s (2014) work on the experiences of foodbank users. These authors found that the emotional impact of foodbanks is under-investigated. They argued that receivers are forced to dismiss personal food preferences and norms about how to obtain food. In addition, when the interactions are framed as charitable giving, this can cause negative emotions such as shame: “Shame is not just considered an effect of poverty, it is even being seen as one of the causes for the persistence of poverty, even across generations” (van der Horst et al., 2014, p. 1509). They suggest further study of these human interactions in order to understand charitable giving and the emotional impact of unequal relations between giver and recipient, in order to change the relationship.

MUG, an Amsterdam-based free magazine for benefit claimants and low-wage workers, has consistently highlighted issues regarding foodbanks, including unfamiliar foods such as goose meat, vegetables removed from supermarkets due to boycotts, and expired food being given away in food packets (MUG Magazine, n.d.). Additional critical literature on the foodbank approach to food poverty includes Riches (2002), Riches and Silvasti (2014), Tarasuk and Eakin (2005) and Bol (2010).

2.5. Legal and Policy Environment Regarding the Right to Food in the Netherlands

Hospes and van der Meulen (2009), Wernaart (2013) and Wernaart and van der Meulen (2016) provide information about UN, EU and Dutch policy and legal frameworks regarding the right to food. These authors note that although the Netherlands has ratified many UN treaties that include access to food as a basic human right, and is also subject to similar EU laws and policies, there is no legal remedy for people whose right to food has been limited, such as asylum seekers with no right to state support and no right to work. There is an assumption, these authors say, that no one in the Netherlands goes hungry, and that any exceptions can be diverted towards charities.

2.6. Other Access to Food Issues in the Netherlands

The Netherlands has a high percentage of disabled people living in various forms of institutional care compared to most other EU countries. There is a good understanding of disability-related dietary needs in many facilities (Beukers, 2013), but as noted earlier, institutions usually offer residents little or no choice about what, when or where they eat.

Research has also found a clear correlation between nutritional status and education level, with those who have no or primary education, a category that would include more disabled people than other levels, eating significantly less fruit, vegetables, and fish than those with higher levels of education (Geurts, Beukers, & van Rossum, 2013). Although the Dutch government collects statistics on nutritional differences between ethnic groups and age groups, it does not collect statistics about the nutritional status of disabled people (Volksgezondheidscartography, 2017).

We are aware that individual patients/clients and self-advocacy groups have lodged complaints about food-related issues in recent years (Stichting Geschillencommissie voor Consumentenzaken, 2017). However, we did not find research that specifically examined food choice, quality or access in Dutch institutions for disabled people.

2.7. Accessibility of Food Information

There is little research about people with intellectual disabilities, access to nutrition information, and support for healthy eating in the Netherlands. For people with an intellectual impairment, it can be difficult to understand and discuss health and nutrition issues (de Winter, Jansen, & Evenhuis, 2011). Technology could be helpful, such as the online application ‘MeMaatje,’ which can be used to schedule exercise and healthy meals, and helps users reflect on their choices. Researchers found that 75% of users with a disability were satisfied with the app and found it user-friendly or somewhat user-
friendly (Oostland-Sikkema & Smit, 2014). However, in
this study, caretakers noted that many users were un-
able to tell time and/or read texts and were therefore
unable to use the application’s diary feature. Caretakers
also commented that confusion had arisen from images
used in the application: if the foods shown were not to
the taste of the users, they might resist eating the meals
(Oostland-Sikkema & Smit, 2014).

People with visual or hearing impairments can also
face information barriers.

3. Results

3.1. Barriers and Facilitators

During the evaluation project, we observed many ac-
tivities focused on access to eating out throughout the
Netherlands. We also spoke to many individuals who
dealt with the question of accessibility every day regard-
ning their experience with local cafés and restaurants. Our
respondents differentiated between physical accessibil-
ity and social accessibility. Usually, the first barriers men-
tioned were physical: is it possible for everyone to enter
the facility? Am I able to move around freely? Is there
an accessible toilet? Next, respondents typically com-
mented on the atmosphere, including lighting and sound,
and the attitude of staff towards disabled patrons. Re-
pondents then highlighted the social experience of go-
ing out for a meal. The majority of people spoke about
the quality of their company, the meal itself, the feeling
of togetherness. People described positive experiences
they had whilst eating out: someone offered to help
them use a ramp, a waiter offered to cut their meat for
them. Positive experiences were noticeably connected
to social or emotional impacts. We also observed ways
that establishments sought to minimise barriers to ac-
cess whilst accompanying disabled people who were vis-
ing or performing access audits on local eating estab-
ishments, including assisting people with buffets, read-
ing menus to blind patrons, and using portable ramps to
permit access to historic buildings used as restaurants.

Negative experiences, on the other hand, were mostly
connected to physical barriers. Respondents offered
two examples of a wheelchair-accessible toilet being
promised, but not available. One turned out to be used as
a storage facility, the other ‘accessible’ toilet was down-
stairs in a basement. We also observed physical barriers
to access when accompanying disabled people who were
performing access audits on local eating establishments.
These included inaccessible toilets, buffets and bars that
were too high for small people or wheelchair users to ac-
cess, crowded layouts, and lack of entrance ramps.

When asked what a ‘perfect’ accessible restaurant
would be like, almost every respondent described the
same restaurants they already enjoy, but with an empha-
sis on the attitude of the staff. If the staff is friendly and
helpful, respondents noted, barriers to access can often
be overlooked or overcome.

Our interviews indicated that, while absolute food
poverty was not an issue for those we spoke with, there
were a number of access issues. These included access to
grocery stores, cafés and restaurants, menus, and resta-
rant websites.

3.2. Foodbanks and Disability in the Netherlands

Voedselbank Nederland is a national association that
sets guidelines on how foodbanks throughout the
Netherlands should be run. Individual foodbanks are
able to adjust these guidelines when managing their
programmes. Voedselbank Nederland recommends that
branches provide food parcels to an individual for up to
three years; this is related to the length of the Dutch
debt-relief programme. Once accepted, a recipient has
the right to food parcels for six months, after which an-
other meeting takes place to reassess their situation (per-
sonal communication, September 2016).

Voedselbank Nederland publishes data on recipients,
including gender, marital status, and whether or not they
have children. However, they do not keep track of how
many recipients have a disability. Several local foodbanks
were asked for data regarding the number of clients with
a disability. All that responded explained that they also
do not keep track of these figures. Four foodbanks said
they were aware of distributing to several clients with
a disability, whereas two responded that they were un-
aware of any clients with a disability (personal communi-
cations, local foodbanks and Voedselbanken Nederland, 
September 2016).

One foodbank responded that they know of some
clients who are “mentally challenged” (personal com-
munication, September 2016), but they were unsure of
whether to describe them as disabled. An expert who
has carried out research on the use of foodbanks in the
Netherlands (van der Horst et al., 2014) offered possible
reasons for the lack of data on disability in the Nether-
lands, particularly when it comes to foodbanks:

I wonder whether they may have a clear concept of
what a disability would be. In my time [observing in
foodbanks] I have not seen people with wheelchairs
or (visibly) blind or deaf people. But one of the
higher-up people I spoke to divided the population
[of foodbank users] into a few bigger chunks. One
of these was people who don’t have the mental ca-
pacity to manage in modern society. Maybe people
who don’t understand the concept of credit. One ex-
ample was somebody who took an advertisement
for a phone literally—“zero euros”—and ended up in
debt….Things such as depression or substance abuse,
and how they might affect your ability to earn or man-
age your finances. (van der Horst, personal communi-
cation, January 2017)

Recipients with disabilities were further discussed during
an in-depth interview with the intake coordinator of one
Dutch foodbank that has been in operation since 2014. Currently, it provides parcels for around 60 recipients per month. The coordinator said they do not collect information about recipients’ disability status because “we don’t believe it matters” (personal communication, September 2016). They estimated that between one-fourth and one-third have a visible physical or intellectual disability. Additionally, the coordinator explained that clients are often sent to them from mental health or addiction services and other organisations; the foodbank also refers recipients to support providers as needed.

Clients with mobility impairments may struggle to travel to the distribution point or carry their parcel home. Workers at two food-parcel distribution points said they were accessible for wheelchairs and mobility scooters, and added that several clients use adapted vehicles (personal communication, September 2016). One foodbank representative said they arrange deliveries for clients who are unable to pick up their parcels due to a disability or illness (personal communication, September 2016).

An expert further noted that clients with certain disabilities might be categorised as “unworthy receivers” due to their behaviour or attendance:

The foodbank mimics the state welfare system in many ways, even though it is a private charity—it sets up rules, criteria and forms, and you need to be referred. I think there is also a concern that if receivers do not come to pick up their food, they may not actually be in need. But there are plenty of reasons why people would not pick up their parcels. One of these might be that the food provided is not good, and not worth it. Another reason might be because the receiver is suffering from depression. (van der Horst, personal communication, January 2017)

One foodbank decided not to offer a delivery service for those clients unable to come to the distribution point. Its coordinator said that some clients would be likely to rely too much on the deliveries and come to abuse the service: “you are helping people, not pampering them,” she commented. She noted that many recipients were able to find creative solutions amongst themselves. Clients with a car might offer to deliver a package to someone who was temporarily unable to come to the distribution point. However, she added, “public transport here is not well connected, so people do need to cross large distances by foot or bicycle.” The coordinator also noted that sometimes recipients are banned from the facility due to bad behaviour, which may or may not be due to a mental condition. In these situations, the foodbank considers the issue to be beyond its scope (personal communication, September 2016).

In discussing the contents of a typical food parcel, it became clear that the food available is often dependent on what local retailers or producers donate. Sometimes this means that products are unusual, unfamiliar or difficult to cook. The foodbank coordinator explained that a local farm occasionally provides vegetables, some of which recipients complain about. This can create additional difficulties for someone with a disability, they admitted:

I can imagine if you have a rheumatic condition, and we offer you celeriac, which I happened to be preparing the other day because we had a lot of them left over, and I was thinking to myself, ‘what a lot of effort goes into preparing this.’ In that case, there is nothing we can do, we’re not able to prepare food in this space. (personal communication, September 2016)

Food parcels often include products past or close to their expiration dates. Typical consumer behaviour contains embodied tabous around eating expired food due to potential risks; however, foodbanks require their receivers to overcome these inhibitions (van der Horst et al., 2014). In addition, the inclusion of many fatty and sugary products can have an infantilizing effect, making receivers feel they are not perceived as adults who require healthy meals (van der Horst et al., 2014).

An expert explained that the expectation of gratitude from a foodbank receiver could be especially traumatic for people with disabilities. Receivers do not want to feel that they are personally responsible for circumstances out of their control. “When it comes to a receiver with a disability, it may be that a caring approach is required, rather than a standardised approach. A young person who cannot cook can be taught to cook, but for other people, not being able to cook meals for themselves might be a static problem” (van der Horst, personal communication, January 2017).

While Voedselbank Nederland’s policy states that a client should be offered a regular parcel for no more than three years, one foodbank coordinator agreed that a client might need long-term assistance, and for this reason their organisation deviates from the national guideline. They explained that several clients, some with physical or intellectual disabilities, are recognised as needing food assistance for a longer period of time, perhaps indefinitely (personal communication, September 2016). Some of these clients might not receive the extended government support they have requested, and are therefore heavily dependent on the parcel they receive from the foodbank, she noted.

3.3. Other Food-Related Initiatives and Disability

When disabled people face functional limitations or external barriers, cooking meals or getting to a supermarket can be problematic, restricting access to healthy food. This might be addressed by daily food delivery services. However, these services do not allow much choice, limit social interaction, and can be costly. Some community-based projects in the Netherlands aim to improve access to food through other means. The online application ‘Thuis Afgehaald’ set out to connect people with ama-
We found that many disabled people hoped that the \[\text{personal communication, February 2016}\], but one can \[\text{personal communication, February 2016}\]. The project manager of ‘Bijzonder Thuis Afgehaald’ explained that their service has made a difference in the eating habits of recipients. Many customers used to rely on microwave meals, sandwiches or fast food. “Research has shown that meals from our home cooks contain more fresh vegetables, pure products and little salt,” she said [\text{personal communication, February 2016}]. She provided the example of a home cook who prepares meals for a woman who has dementia:

This cook prepares food five days a week for this woman and passes on updates to her daughter and granddaughter who live elsewhere. In the weekend, the relatives visit this woman, and during the week she receives professional care. The relatives are very happy to count on the home cook, who enjoys being able to help. [\text{personal communication, February 2016}]

The need for this service may reflect changes in the Dutch welfare system. The project manager noted that her team often receives requests from caregivers who are looking for meals and support for their parents. Many caregivers have discovered ‘Bijzonder Thuis Afgehaald’ through search engines or newspapers, rather than through professional referrals. She said this was “another nice example of the shift towards informal care” [\text{personal communication, February 2016}], but one can question whether such a shift is necessarily positive, as it produces inequalities based on access to information and social contacts.

Other initiatives include ‘social restaurants’ (volkskeukens or ‘vokos’) run by community groups.

### 3.4. Enforcing the Right to Food

We found that many disabled people hoped that the Netherlands’ recent ratification of the UN CRPD would bring an end to differential and problematic treatment. However, we learned from an expert on the right to food and other social and economic rights that the Netherlands has not always implemented social-economic rights embedded in other UN treaties that it has ratified [\text{Wernaart, personal communication, 2016}]. In 2013, a National Action Plan on Human Rights was presented by the Dutch government, which acknowledged the need for a more systematic approach [\text{Rijksoverheid, 2016b}]. Interestingly, this report mentioned the right to food, but only in regards to prisoners and residents of Aruba [\text{op cit.}]. Legal scholars are divided on whether the Netherlands should be considered a moderately or fully monistic country. While some hold that it is moderately monistic, and therefore that constitutional implementation of international law requires parliamentary approval and official publication [\text{for example, Alkema, 2011}], the majority view is that national courts can give direct effect to international law [\text{Vlemminx & Meuwese, 2013}]. However, there is some reluctance to do so. For example, in the context of asylum seekers with neither a right to public support nor the right to work, the right to food has not been upheld in Dutch court cases. The only citation of the Netherlands’ obligations regarding the right to food under UN treaties has so far been in cases regarding migrant children, with judicial rulings mentioning—but not relying on—the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child [\text{Hospes & van der Meulen, 2009; Wernaart & van der Meulen, 2016}]. At this time, “the Dutch Courts unanimously reject direct effect of Article 11 ICESCR” as it is not seen as “binding on all persons” [\text{Wernaart & van der Meulen, 2016, p. 87}]. Dutch courts also generally do not refer to EU laws unless these have specifically been incorporated into the Dutch legal code by Parliament.

Much will therefore depend on whether the Dutch state acts to fully incorporate the provisions of the UN CRPD into national legislation and policy, thereby creating a new area of enforceable, human-rights-based law. There has been a gradual, albeit slow, move in this direction over the past 20 years. Since 2011, human rights claims can be adjudicated by the College van de Rechten van de Mens (Netherlands Institute for Human Rights). Its decisions are non-binding, but contribute to jurisprudence.

### 4. Conclusion

Our research respondents highlighted the fact that experiences involving food, such as eating out and attending community events, are crucial locations for exclusion or inclusion. Access to adequate food is an even more critical issue, due to its impact on health and wellbeing. However, interviews with disabled people, experts, policymakers and foodbank personnel substantiated that many disabled people in the Netherlands face physical, social, attitudinal and/or economic barriers when accessing food and dining experiences. The scale of the problem is unknown, however, because the state and relevant institutions involved with food policy and provision do not collect data about disabled people and access to adequate food. It is clearly problematic for the state, foodbanks and other institutions to rely on assumptions and estimates.

While we found insufficient research on disabled peoples’ access to food in the Netherlands, we uncovered evidence of barriers that affected the entire spectrum of access-to-food issues across all forms of disability. As noted, many disabled people in the Netherlands hope that ratification of the UN CRPD will lead to local and national policies that establish and enforce standards on accessibility and inclusion, including social and economic
rights. In an era of austerity budgets across Europe, this may be an uphill battle.

5. Recommendations

Understanding the scope of barriers to adequate food for disabled people in the Netherlands will require research that investigates current nutritional intake differences between disabled and non-disabled people, accessibility and use of information about healthy eating, choice and control over meals (especially for those in institutional care), whether disability benefits are too low to permit access to adequate food, use of emergency food provision programmes and other charitable or non-state help services by disabled people, and physical, transport and support barriers that may affect the ability of disabled people to prepare and eat meals independently and access grocery stores and eating establishments. Research should also consider the impact of intersectionality, such as differential impacts on ethnic minorities or women who have disabilities. As Neihof (2013) has noted, care and care needs are gendered, and these often determine the ability of households to manage on the budget available in order to avoid food poverty.
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